

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
8

9 TYRONE R. DENSON,

10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 CAROLYN W. COLVIN,  
13 Acting Commissioner of  
Social Security,

14 Defendant.

3:13-cv-00398-HDM-WGC

**ORDER**

15 Before the court is Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. # 1),<sup>1</sup> and  
16 complaint (Doc. # 1-1).

17 **I. APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS**

18 A person may be granted permission to proceed in forma pauperis if the person  
19 "submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets such [person] possesses [and] that  
20 the person is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor. Such affidavit shall state the  
21 nature of the action, defense or appeal and affiant's belief that the person is entitled to  
22 redress." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). "[T]he supporting affidavits [must] state the facts as to the  
23 affiant's poverty with some particularity, definiteness, and certainty." *United States v.*  
24 *McQuade*, 647 F.2d 938, 940 (9th Cir. 1981) (per curiam) (citing *Jefferson v. United States*,  
25 277 F.2d 723, 725 (9th Cir. 1960)). The litigant need not "be absolutely destitute to enjoy the  
26 benefits of the statute." *Adkins v. E.I. du Pont De Nemours & Co.*, 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948).

27  
28 

---

<sup>1</sup>Refers to court's docket number.

1 A review of Plaintiff's financial affidavit reveals that he is unable to pay the filing fee.  
2 As a result, Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. # 1) is **GRANTED**.  
3 However, the court notes that Plaintiff is represented by an attorney, and therefore conditions  
4 the grant of the application to proceed in forma pauperis as follows: if recovery is had by  
5 Plaintiff in this action, then the filing fee shall be paid. At this time, Plaintiff is not required  
6 to pre-pay the full filing fee.

## II. SCREENING

## 8 || A. Standard

9 Applications to proceed in forma pauperis are governed by 28 U.S.C. § 1915, which  
10 “authorizes the court to dismiss an IFP action that is frivolous or malicious.” *Franklin v.*  
11 *Murphy*, 745 F.2d 1221, 1226 (9th Cir. 1984) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) (citing 28 U.S.C. §  
12 1915(d)). This provision applies to all actions filed in forma pauperis, whether or not the  
13 plaintiff is incarcerated. *See Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1129 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc);  
14 *see also Calhoun v. Stahl*, 254 F.3d 845 (9th Cir. 2001) (per curiam).

15       28 U.S.C. § 1915 provides: “the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court  
16 determines that . . . the action or appeal (i) is frivolous or malicious; (ii) fails to state a claim  
17 upon which relief may be granted; or (iii) seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is  
18 immune from such relief.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)-(iii). Dismissal of a complaint for  
19 failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted is provided for in Federal Rule of  
20 Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), and this court applies the same standard under Section 1915(e)(2)  
21 when reviewing the adequacy of a complaint or amended complaint. *See Resnick v. Hayes*,  
22 213 F.3d 443, 447 (9th Cir. 2000) (citation omitted). Review under Rule 12(b)(6) is essentially  
23 a ruling on a question of law. *See Chappel v. Lab. Corp. of America*, 232 F.3d 719, 723 (9th  
24 Cir. 2000).

25 In reviewing a complaint under this standard, the court must accept as true the  
26 allegations of the complaint in question, *Hosp. Bldg. Co. v. Trustees of Rex Hosp.*, 425 U.S.  
27 738, 740 (1976), construe the pleading in the light most favorable to plaintiff, and resolve all

1       doubts in the plaintiff's favor. *Jenkins v. McKeithen*, 395 U.S. 411, 421 (1969). Allegations in  
 2       pro se complaints are held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by  
 3       lawyers, and must be liberally construed. *See Hughes v. Rowe*, 449 U.S. 5, 9 (1980); *Haines v.*  
 4       *Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520-21 (1972) (*per curiam*); *see also Hamilton v. Brown*, 630 F.3d 889,  
 5       893 (9th Cir. 2011); *Hebbe v. Pliler*, 627 F.3d 338, 342 (9th Cir. 2010); *Balistreri v. Pacifica*  
 6       *Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

7       A complaint must contain more than a "formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause  
 8       of action;" it must contain factual allegations sufficient to "raise a right to relief above the  
 9       speculative level." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). "The pleading  
 10      must contain something more . . . than . . . a statement of facts that merely creates a suspicion  
 11      [of] a legally cognizable right of action." *Id.* (quoting 5 C. Wright & A. Miller, *Federal Practice*  
 12      and *Procedure* § 1216, at 235-36 (3d ed. 2004)). At a minimum, a plaintiff should state  
 13      "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Id.* at 570; *see also*  
 14      *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009).

15       A dismissal should not be without leave to amend unless it is clear from the face of the  
 16      complaint that the action is frivolous and could not be amended to state a federal claim, or the  
 17      district court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the action. *See Cato v. United States*, 70  
 18      F.3d 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995) (dismissed as frivolous); *O'Loughlin v. Doe*, 920 F.2d 614, 616  
 19      (9th Cir. 1990).

20      **B. Plaintiff's Complaint**

21       Plaintiff's Complaint names Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner of Social Security.  
 22       Plaintiff has filed his Complaint requesting review of the final decision of the Commissioner  
 23      of Social Security. (Doc. # 1-1.)

24       Federal courts have sole jurisdiction to conduct judicial review of the Social Security  
 25      Administration's determination in this regard. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Upon a review of  
 26      Plaintiff's Complaint, it appears that he has exhausted his administrative remedies with the

1 Social Security Administration. Therefore, the court will allow Plaintiff's Complaint to  
2 **PROCEED.**

3 **III. CONCLUSION**

4 (1) Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. # 1) is **GRANTED**. The  
5 movant herein is permitted to maintain this action without the necessity of prepayment of fees  
6 or costs or the giving of security therefor. However, should the action result in a recovery to  
7 Plaintiff, the court will require the payment of the full filing fee. This order granting in forma  
8 pauperis status does not extend to the issuance of subpoenas at government expense.

9 (2) The complaint will **PROCEED** and the Clerk is instructed to **FILE** the Complaint  
10 (Doc. # 1-1).

11 (3) The Clerk shall **SERVE** the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration by  
12 sending a copy of the Summons and Complaint by certified mail to: (1) the General Counsel,  
13 Social Security Administration, Room 611, Altmeyer Building, 6401 Security Boulevard,  
14 Baltimore, MD 21235; (2) the Attorney General of the United States, Department of Justice,  
15 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20530; and (3) Office of the Regional Chief  
16 Counsel, Region IX, Social Security Administration, 160 Spear Street, Suite 899,  
17 San Francisco, California 94105.

18 (4) The Clerk shall **ISSUE** a summons to the Social Security Administration and deliver  
19 the summons and complaint to the U.S. Marshal for service on the U.S. Attorney's Office at  
20 100 West Liberty Street, Suite 600, Reno, Nevada 89501.

21 (5) Defendant shall have **sixty (60)** days from the date of service to file an answer or  
22 other responsive pleading to Plaintiff's Complaint in this case.

23 (6) Henceforth, Plaintiff, or his counsel, shall serve upon defendant, or defendant's  
24 attorney, a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document submitted for consideration by  
25 the court. Plaintiff shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate  
26 stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to defendant or  
27  
28

1 defendant's counsel. The court may disregard any paper received by a district judge,  
2 magistrate judge, or clerk which fails to include a certificate of service.

3

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 DATED: August 5, 2013.

6

7

*William G. Cobb*

8

---

WILLIAM G. COBB  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28